

DUE DILIGENCE NOTE: Balancing perspectives to keep the mission intact

I. Introduction

This note is intended to be a guide for social entrepreneurs and impact investors around nurturing an atmosphere of mutual trust and understanding during the due diligence phase when raising investments. This is one of the most crucial aspects of an enterprise's journey and growth, as the terms at which one has brought in investors to his/her venture will surely shape the enterprises' future. Investors bring significant experience and technical expertise into an organization apart from funds. Hence, being able to identify and bring on board aligned investors should be a process taken with care so that at the end of the day the social mission of the enterprise intact irrespective of changing actors.

This document has attempted to bring in a practitioner's perspective building on SELCO and its incubatees' investment experience but with an emphasis on these three aspects:

- Perspectives built on experience and observations around setting a healthy atmosphere during discussions that promotes trust and transparency.
- A generic checklist of items that is commonly required for any investor-led due diligence also forms a part of this document.
- Suggestions for mission protective clauses in term sheets. In doing so, a few crucial questions that were asked to entrepreneurs and investors alike include: What are the clauses that most affect future enterprise operations in term sheet? Clauses that are most discussed during term sheet negotiations? Which are the clauses in the term sheet included by investors and/or enterprises to keep the social mission intact? By asking such questions, some of the sticky term sheet clauses were isolated for further study and clarity. The term sheet clauses discussed in this document is not exhaustive, but were viewed as critical to ensure that the mission of the organization remains intact irrespective of changing investors or ownership.

These aspects were chosen based on preliminary findings that these aspects were not to be fully explored. By no means do we have all the answers nor are we attempting to repackage existing work. We encourage you to use this as one of the guiding resources but also browse complementary sources. Some of them have been included at the end which resonated with our own experience.

II. The Negotiation Process

The negotiation process covers early familiarization among potential partners before the due diligence process. The latter is more well understood to constitute a series of fact-finding and business risk assessment activities carried out by the investor directly or a front-end organization who represents the investors interests. This is agreed to be necessary in order to reduce the information asymmetry gap and give the investor a clearer understanding of the business idea or plan that underpins the proposal. It has both qualitative and quantitative dimensions typically covering financial, operational, legal and external environmental factors that affect and potentially influences the field in which the enterprise operates.

Typically, the negotiation process begins from the submission of investment memo/proposal from the entrepreneur's side. The entire investment process may take between 6-18 months and the due diligence activity may itself comprise of 6-8 months of investment fact-finding by the investor, before a term sheet is exchanged with

the entrepreneur. The entire investment process is adapted from routine commercial business processes as given that a social enterprise follows the principles of business to achieve its social mission.



Timeline: From negotiation to due diligence to deal finalization. SELCO experience

While it is widely acknowledged that there are different types of investors and lenders¹ looking for widely differing types and quantum of returns, for the purpose of this document the scope is limited to an impact investor who in addition to moderate financial returns gives equal if not more weight to social returns i.e. impact that benefits underserved societies.

Importance of the Negotiation Process

- <u>Business idea vs business plan</u>: a plan sets in place concrete steps towards your goals but more importantly is the core idea around which the entire organization has been set up. It is important to find investors who share your passion for the business idea using the business plan as a reference tool. Enterprises in developing countries suffer from a weak support system which further stagnates growth. There are forces coming together to build this ecosystem but in the interim entrepreneurs need to be honest about these challenges and find investors who are not only willing to invest despite these challenges but also be patient with you to build that supportive system.
- <u>Two sides of a coin</u>: The negotiation process is not just about the investor determining if you are a suitable candidate but also your opportunity to gauge whether you share a mutual vision and commitment to its fulfillment through ups and downs.
- <u>Constructive feedback</u>: benefits entrepreneur in getting together documentation and putting in place an information map or even processes at the prospect of a 3rd party review.

There are two key underlying strategies that have been deemed to be successful in setting appropriate tones for the discussion and laying a solid foundation for future discussions:

Team Composition

At different points in the negotiation process one will encounter questions related to philosophy, finance, future plans, and legalities and so on of the organization. Typically one person may not be able to answer on all these fronts. Rather, depending on skill set it is important to bring in appropriate representatives at different points in the due diligence process. For instance, at the onset when describing the philosophy, mission and future vision of the organization the founder is seen as a motivational puller. Subsequently questions around financial and operational sustainability are better handled by the COO and CFO or just the COO. However gut reactions, cross questioning maybe a strength of the COO and thus she/he might be useful to have in the first conversation. It also brings in a sense of confidence of the management at the helm of the organization and ability of the organization to sustain in the absence of the Founder's inputs. If paired with an investor representative who is similarly brought in with experience on the field, finance, operations it will significantly reduce the time needed to understand each other.

Partnerships over hierarchies

Very often the party with the funding is perceived to have the upper hand. The atmosphere can become hierarchical with investors leading the conversation and demanding information. Successful investments are typically rooted in

 $^{^1}$ A guidebook on preparing technology transfer projects for financing. UNFCCC (2006). Due Diligence Note, May 2015

an understanding from both parties that this is a partnership with shared social missions. The enterprise is the vehicle to reach that goal and the funding is a crucial support to fuel it. One without the other is useless. The ultimate social mission is compromised or may never exist. Thus, both parties need to see themselves as being an equal partner in the discussions. Maintaining high levels f trust and transparency is vital to the process.

III. Other softer considerations include-

For Entrepreneurs:

Closing an investment is a huge milestone for many entrepreneurs because besides the resources to grow the enterprise it also brings together a group of external people who are committing to pushing forward your vision. Thus while it is perceived that the person who has the funds is entitled to do a complete investigation of a potential investee by the same token, the entrepreneur, also, is entitled to the reverse. A few thoughts-

- <u>Investment philosophy-is it a match?</u> Impact investors, particularly venture capitalists, have a short term outlook and seek investments with fast gains. Dig into ideas of scale vs innovations vs proven model to evaluate mutual agreements. Ask upfront questions particularly around failure, dealing with pressing issues and other challenges. This should be done in the early phase of discussion, 0-2months.
- <u>Check with references of other investees/peers</u> particularly around performance, ticket size, success rate, investments in similar segments (geography/sector/segment).
- <u>Be attentive to the atmosphere around the negotiation process</u>: Was the investor a good listener, were they responsive? Were they prepared about your organization for the meetings?
- Engage 3rd party investment advisors preferably peers or likeminded investors or incubators to assist in shaping your decision particularly around the long term-future of the company and the role of this investor.
- Finally, listen to your gut. Prioritize trust over valuation or monies. It will cost you.
- Investment process can be a long ne s be prepared t invest significant time sometimes, even at the cost of running your business. Thus if the deal falls through ne is better prepared for the time allocation spent n the deal.
- Patience. If the due diligence takes time it is for a good reason. Each Investor will have some requirement that is different from others mainly due to the structure of their funds. Be patient if you think the Investor is otherwise transparent and open in their need for such information. Figure out the way to speed up the processes. Self-check if you will invest money in your company if roles were reversed?
- Ask yourself if <u>you are investment ready</u>. In other words ensure you have completed all the statutory
 requirements in the company from the day it was formed. Also be sure t refer checklist and be prepared t
 save additional time.
- Be clear in your thinking when you wish to raise money. <u>Do not change your position</u> during negotiations unless there are compelling reasons beyond your control.
- Seek <u>high quality professional help</u> early in the deal. By and large this is not an area of expertise for the investee. Be prepared for the financial implications.

For Investors

For investors, a lot of the due diligence process is to reduce uncertainty. This is not a bad thing and makes sense if one is putting money on the table. However, very often due to haze of uncertainty - of the business, local conditions around stability in a short horizon, investors, tend to look sideways, to their peers, or to similar investments in developed countries to determine where and how to put their funds. The result are similar investments in similar enterprises. Thus investors need to come to the negotiation table with tools that will help take on the unfamiliar but with calculated risk.

• <u>Build a connect with investee</u>: Bring to the table folks who can identify with entrepreneurs or who are capable of building a connect with them. It will help in solidifying trust early on in the process and also assist

- the investors in shaping their decisions. Most often investment firms are staffed with people who are not entrepreneurs or lived outside the investee area of operations thus making it difficult to establish a connect
- State clearly the non-negotiable points upfront. E.g. place on the Board, minimum holding of promoters in the company post investment, exit period.
- Explain the requirements' of your fund very early during the negotiations in as much details as possible
- <u>Building capacity of front line organizations</u> that represent the "faceless" investors who are most often in Europe or North America. They set the tone for discussions and trust early on so they should accurately represent expectations of other senior decision making representatives.
- Usually the potential Investee will have to deal with multiple people in the Investor's organization before signing the deal. It is important that one person from Investor's organization does the front ending with the Investee as much as possible. In case of handing over the deal to another department or another person (in cases where a person may exit Investor's organization) ensure that the pitch of the deal does not vary significantly with the other team/successor.
- <u>Different vantage points</u>: As mentioned earlier to reduce uncertainty it would help to have staffs that bring in perspective from different vantage points to help shape a decision and build an understanding of the unfamiliar. For instance having an entrepreneur on the advisory board.
- Be <u>timely in response to decline</u> the capacity to fund any organization especially in the early weeks of discussion. Do not keep the deal hanging even if your intentions may be good.
- Being <u>clear about reasons for decline without ambiguous or harsh "no"</u> is a good way to in a small way, help the organization improve or retool approaches if needed.
- <u>Building in efficiency:</u> Request for existing documents and only if necessary specific documents or perhaps a follow up call.
- <u>A contribution vs funding</u>. Moving beyond the role of one who gives funds and offering constructive advice to building the organization, a partnership, with the organization is important.
- <u>Awareness of cultural communication and expectations</u>: contexts outside The United States especially around ways of rejection.

IV. Term Sheets

Term sheet discussions follow the due diligence activity of the investors and once the business facts have been verified. The term sheet is non-binding and is perhaps the most crucial and thoroughly negotiated document during the investment process. The clauses in the term sheet will form a part of the shareholders agreement. It is important to realize that the clauses agreed upon during this stage will determine the course of engagement between the investor and the entrepreneur and ultimately guides the growth of the enterprise.

Since the scope of this document is to speak about the clauses and negotiation in the impact investment domain, mission protection is prioritized here more than singularly speaking from the investor or entrepreneur's perspective. The key considerations during the term sheet discussion that has to be kept in mind includes-

- *Investment Philosophy:* Entrepreneurs and investors alike must understand, match and reconcile their philosophy for the organization and investment before arriving at a decision
- *Partnership Approach:* Investors can have hands-on engagement as partners of the enterprise right from the negotiation phase, rather than the traditional hierarchical approach of remaining solely as funders
- *Ownership:* Shareholding should be structured in the best interest of protecting the company's mission. This can tilt from the entrepreneur to investor's side depending on the context
- *Clauses Vs Trust:* Term clauses have an expiration term and protection trigger. Entrepreneurs and investors alike must balance and appreciate the level of trust that forms part of successful investment partnerships

• *Time costs:* As the entire process may take a little over a year, entrepreneurs must account for the duration of the fund raising activity and potential delays while quoting investment requirements

Refer below Appendix 1. Suggested Mission protective clauses in the term sheet.

V. Checklist

Typically in preparation for the fundraising cycle, a list of documents is generally solicited. This list has been put together drawing from experience and a few other resources. It is differentiated into documents required to understand the business plan and documents to further understand inner organization workings. It can be found in Appendix 2. (attached excel file)

Resources:

- 1. ANDE Legal Working Group: Entrepreneur Introduction to term sheets [http://tinyurl.com/nxbmkcm]
- UNFCCC Preparing Technology Transfer Projects for Financing [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/publications/pract_guide_06_en.pdf]
- 3. Schwab Foundation Social Investor Manual [http://www.weforum.org/pdf/schwabfound/SocialInvestmentManual2011.pdf]
- 4. Toniic E-Guide Early Stage Global Impact Investing [http://www.toniic.com/toniic-e-guide-fall2013/]

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank our colleagues and mentors who took the time to give critical feedback over the course of this compilation- Phil La Rocco, Christine Eibs Singer, Ashis Sahu, Harish Hande, Revathi. K, Nagaraja Rao, Jeff Prins, Elena Casolari, Rustam Sengupta, Vinay Jaju.

Appendix 1. Term Sheet suggestions on mission protective language

Clause	Simplified Meaning	Suggested	Suggested term – Simplified
Anti Dilution Protection:	If the next round of investment	If the price per security or share is lower	If the earlier 1000 convertible shares
In the event that the Company	happens at a lesser valuation/price,	than the prevailing investor price, then the	were entitled to be converted @ say 320
issues additional securities in the	then the investor either gets free	bearers of investor shares shall have broad	Rs and the next issues of say 3400
future at a purchase price less than	shares or if the shares are not	based weighted average anti-dilution	shares are priced at say 290 Rs, then the
the previous round of fund raising,	converted then there is adjustment	rights. Such rights shall be exercised by	adjusted conversion price will be
then this anti dilution clause	in the conversion price.	way of an automatic adjustment to the	calculated as follows:
provides protection to the investors		investor conversion price, without the need	Old Conversion Price = 320
in early rounds		for any further action of parties. The	Existing No of Shares = 1000
		adjusted investor conversion price(ACP) is	Consideration to be received =
		calculated as follows: ACP=(Old	3400*290= 986000
		Conversion Price*(Existing No of Shares	New shares to be issued = 3400
		+[Consideration to be received/Old	Adjusted conversion price =
		Conversion Pricel))/(Existing No of Shares+	(320*(1000+[986000/320])/
		Number of New shares to be issued)	(1000+3400)) = 296.82 Rs.
			Thus the conversion will happen @ 296
			Rs and not @ Rs. 320
Right of first refusal:	If the existing investor decides to	Right of first refusal with all existing	If an existing investor who has a 25%
Right of first refusal with existing	sell their stake in the company then	investors, in case the existing equity	stake in the company wishes to sell say
investors, in case the existing equity	the other existing shareholders	shareholder proposes to transfer their	10000 Equity shares, then the other
shareholder proposes to transfer	should have the first right to buy the	shares in the company to a third party on	investors have the right to buy those
their shares in the company to a	shares and only in the event if they	pro rata basis.	Equity shares in proportion to their
third party.	are not willing to buy the shares,		percentage of current holding of shares
	then the investor willing to sell his		
	stake should look for a new buyer		
	(other than the existing		
Lock-in of Founder's & Investor's	shareholder)	The invested shares are locked in for a	
shares:	The purpose of this clause is to ensure that the founders remain	period of 5 years, subject to a schedule of	
The shareholders cannot in any way	invested and are sufficiently	unlocking provided as provided below:	
sell / transfer their respective	interested in managing the	1 Year – 15%	
shareholdings before the end of	operations efficiently and effectively	2 Years – 35%	
certain period from the date of	and the investors remain invested	3 Years – 55%	
Investments by Investors,	for sufficiently a long time	4 Years – 75%	
,		5 Years – 100%	
Tag-along / take-me-along rights	If the promoter or the majority	The Tag Along Right shall be a pro-rata	If an existing investor who has a 25%
Subject to the lock-in provisions	shareholder sells his stake, the	right and available to both the Founders/	stake in the company has found a
herein contained and to the extent	other investors/ minority	Investors and other minority	buyer to buy say 10000 Equity shares,
the rights of first refusal are not	shareholders have the right (not	shareholders.	then the other investors have the right
exercised, in the event a Promoter	compulsory) to join the deal and		to sell to the proposed buyer 7500
proposes to transfer any Common	sell their stake at the same terms		Equity shares (the balance 75%) in
Stock, the Investors would have a	and conditions.		proportion to their percentage of
right to sell its shares on the same			current holding of shares
terms to the same party			
Drag-along rights:	Where one of the investors find a	No drag along rights.	If an existing investor wishes to sell his
Holders of Preferred Stock and the	buyer, but the buyer wants to	If a Shareholder (the "Offering	stake in the company, he has to first
Founders and all future holders of	acquire the whole company or else	Shareholder"), desires to sell any of the	offer to sell his shares to the existing
greater than certain percentage of	is not interested in buying only the	Equity Shares (the "Offered Shares") to any	investors. In the event if the existing
Common Stock (assuming	investor's share of the company,	third party then the Offering Shareholder	investors are not interested to buy
conversion of Preferred Stock and	then this clause gives the right to	shall first offer (the "Offer") to sell the	them within a stipulated time, then the
whether then held or subject to the	the investor interested in selling his	Equity Shares by sending a notice of the	Board can identify a buyer to buy the
exercise of options) shall be	stake to drag along other investors	Offer (the "Notice") to the other	shares offered for sale on the same
required to enter into an agreement	and compel them to sell their stake	Shareholders (the "Non-Offering	terms and conditions within a
with the Investors that provides that	as well to the interested buyer. This	Shareholders") with a copy to the	stipulated time. If the Board fails to
such stockholders will vote their	clause prevents a minority investor	Company, irrevocably offering to sell the	find a buyer or does not exercise its

shares in favor of a Deemed Liquidation Event or transaction in which 50% or more of the voting power of the Company is transferred and which is approved by the Board of Directors and the holders of certain percentage of the outstanding shares of Preferred Stock, on an as-converted basis

from blocking such sale.

Equity Shares to the Non-Offering Shareholders for cash. Within 15 (fifteen) days of the receipt of the Notice by the Offering Shareholder (the "Offer Period"), each Non-Offering Shareholder may at its option send a notice ("Transfer Acceptance Notice") in writing to the Offering Shareholder and the Company accepting the Offer in respect of all (and not less than all) of such Non-Offering Shareholder's pro rata portion of the Offered Shares (which pro rata portion shall be a percentage of the Offered Shares equal to the ratio of the Equity Shares then held by such Non-Offering Shareholder divided by the aggregate Equity Shares then held by all Non-Offering Shareholders. If all Non-Offering Shareholders issue a Transfer Acceptance Notice, then all such Non-Offering Shareholders shall be entitled and bound to purchase the Offered Shares in proportion to their pro rata portion thereof. If any but less than all of the Non-Offering Shareholders issues a Transfer Acceptance Notice during the Offer Period, then within (2) two days after the end of the Offer Period the Offering Shareholder shall send a supplemental notice to each such Non-Offering Shareholder that issued a Transfer Acceptance Notice and the Company, irrevocably offering to sell to such Non-Offering Shareholders their respective pro rata portion of the Offered Shares in respect of which the other Non-Offering Shareholders did not accept the offer to purchase Offered Shares within the Offer Period. Within (10) ten days of receipt of such supplemental notice to the accepting Non-Offering Shareholders, such Non-Offering Shareholders shall communicate amongst themselves regarding the remaining available Offered Shares and whether each desires to purchase its pro rata portion of the same, and each such Non-Offering Shareholder so desiring to purchase remaining available Offered Shares shall, within such (10) ten day-period, at its option send a supplemental Transfer Acceptance Notice in writing to the Offering Shareholder and the Company accepting the Offer in respect of all (and not less than all) of such Non-Offering Shareholder's pro rata portion of the remaining Offered Shares (which pro rata

portion shall be a percentage of the

right to identify a buyer within a stipulated time, then the existing shareholder can sell his stake to a new buyer on the same terms and conditions set out originally while communicating his intention to sell his stake in the company. This clause also provides protection to the existing shareholders against selling the stake to a competitor.

remaining Offered Shares equal to the ratio of the Equity Shares then held by such Non-Offering Shareholder divided by the aggregate Equity Shares then held by all Non-Offering Shareholders electing to purchase a portion of such remaining Offered Shares). Any Non-Offering Shareholder issuing Transfer Acceptance Notice(s) as above shall pay the Offer Price in proportion to the Offered Shares proposed to be purchased by it, and accept a transfer of, all the Offered Shares or their respective entitlement thereof, as the case may be, and the Offering Shareholder shall be bound, on payment of the Offer Price to transfer all the Offer Shares or their respective entitlement thereof as the case may be, to the respective Non-Offering Shareholders. Such payment and transfer shall be completed within (15) fifteen days after the date of the last Transfer Acceptance Notice. If none of the Non-Offering Shareholders issues a Transfer Acceptance Notice and accepts the offer of the Offered Shares contained in the Notice within the Offer Period, or if, at the expiration of all relevant periods for delivery of Transfer Acceptance Notices, the Non-Offering Shareholders have issued Transfer Acceptance Notices and accepted the Offer to buy the Offered Shares in respect of less than all the Offered Shares, then, at the conclusion of such periods, the Board shall have thirty (30) days in which it may identify a third party purchaser (the "Board Identified Buyer") of its choosing to purchase all (but not less than all) of the remaining Offered Shares at the Offer Price and on the other terms and conditions set forth in the Notice. The Board shall exercise this right by delivering a written notice to the Offering Shareholder setting forth the name of the Board Identified Buyer and other relevant information. If the Board elects not to exercise the foregoing right, then the Offering Shareholder shall have the right to sell the Offered Shares not accepted by the Non-Offering Shareholders to the Proposed Buyer within 30 (thirty) days after the expiry of the Offer Period at the Offer Price and on such terms and conditions which are not more favourable than the terms in the Notice. If the sale and purchase of the Offered Shares to the Proposed Buyer (and/or Board Identified Buyer, as the case may be) is not

Т			
		completed within the 120 (one hundred	
		and twenty) days period following the	
		expiration of the relevant periods	
		described above, then the Offering	
		Shareholder shall be subject to the	
		provision of this Section as if no such	
		offer was made.	
		Notwithstanding anything in this	
		agreement, the existing investors shall not	
		Transfer their respective Investor Shares	
		to a competitor of the company, except	
		with the prior written approval of the	
		Shareholders, determined based on their	
		shareholding in the Company.	
Non-compete	This clause prevents the founders	The promoters agrees that he shall not for	This clause prohibits the promoters
Each Founder and key employee will	and other key employees from	a period of at least five years from the	from starting a competing business
enter into a non-competition and	creating a competing entity by	date of this agreement own, develop,	upto 5 years from the date of the
non-solicitation agreement valid for	leaving the organization or	manage, establish, engage in, operate or	agreement.
a certain period of time in a form	poaching its employees for a	cause to be operated or consult in a	
reasonably acceptable to the other	certain period of time	business that competes with the business	
existing Investors.		of the company. This also includes	
		directly or indirectly, engage in the	
		marketing or distribution of any services	
		provided by the company or carry on the	
		competitive business or solicit for the	
		competitive business, and customer or	
		target customer or key personnel or	
		supplier of the company or otherwise use	
		its knowledge or influence over any	
		customer or target customer or key	
		personnel or supplier to the detriment of	
		the company. This, as an individual,	
		employee, consultant, independent	
		contractor, partner, shareholder, member	
		or in association with any person except	
		on behalf of the company,	
Counsel and Expenses	This clause specifies who will be	Except as agreed to the contrary by the	Each of them will pay the costs
=	bearing the legal counsel costs of	Parties in writing, each Party shall pay its	incurred by them. The initial draft
1 - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -	the investor and the Company.	own costs and expenses. All costs and	agreement will be prepared by the
all legal and administrative costs of	·	expenses in relation to and for the	Company and post execution all the
the financing [at Closing], including		consummation of the transaction	other charges will be borne by the
reasonable fees (not to exceed		including the expenses relating to issue of	Company.
\$[] and expenses of Investor		the Equity Shares, stamp duty on this	company.
counsel[, unless the transaction is		Agreement and other charges payable in	
not completed because the Investors		respect of the issue and transfer of the	
withdraw their commitment		Equity Shares, as the case may be, and the	
without cause].		execution of this Agreement shall be paid	
Company Counsel: []		by the Company. The initial draft share	
Investor Counsel: []		holder agreement will be drafted by the	
mivesior courises: []		company.	
		company.	